

## Models of Set Theory II - Winter 2015/2016

Prof. Dr. Peter Koepke, Regula Krapf

Problem sheet 10

**Problem 37** (6 points). Suppose that  $\mathbb{P}$  is a  $\sigma$ -closed forcing.

- (a) Let  $\kappa$  be an uncountable cardinal in  $M$  and suppose that  $p \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}}^M \text{“}\dot{C} \subseteq [\check{\kappa}]^{\check{\omega}} \text{ is club”}$  for some  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name  $\dot{C}$ . Prove that there is a club  $D \subseteq [\check{\kappa}]^{\check{\omega}}$  in  $M$  such that for all  $x \in D$  there are sequences  $\langle p_n \mid n \in \omega \rangle$  and  $\langle x_n \mid n \in \omega \rangle$  such that for all  $n \in \omega$ ,  $p_{n+1} \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p_n \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p$ ,  $x_{n+1} \supseteq x_n$ ,  $p_n \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}}^M \check{x}_n \in \dot{C}$  and  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} x_n = x$ .
- (b) Conclude that  $\mathbb{P}$  is proper.

**Problem 38** (4 points). The *Proper Forcing Axiom (PFA)* states that for every proper forcing  $\mathbb{P}$ ,  $\text{FA}_{\aleph_1}(\mathbb{P})$  holds. Its consistency proof uses strong large cardinal assumptions. Show that the if we replace  $\aleph_1$  by  $\aleph_2$  above, the statement becomes false.

*Hint: Consider a forcing which collapses  $\aleph_2$  to  $\aleph_1$ .*

**Problem 39** (6 points). Let  $\mathbb{P} = {}^{<\omega_1}\omega$ , ordered by reverse inclusion. We construct a countable support iteration of length  $\omega$  of subforcings of  $\mathbb{P}$ . We define inductively  $\mathbb{P}_n$ -names  $\dot{Q}_n$  and  $\dot{f}_n$  with the following properties:

- Let  $\dot{Q}_0 = \check{\mathbb{P}}$ .
- $\text{dom}(\dot{Q}_n) \subseteq \{\check{p} \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$  and  $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{P}_n} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_n}^M \text{“}\dot{Q}_n \text{ is dense in } \check{\mathbb{P}}\text{”}$ .
- $\dot{f}_n$  is the canonical  $\mathbb{P}_{n+1}$ -name for the generic function  $f_n : \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega$  added by  $\dot{Q}_n$ .
- Given  $\dot{Q}_n$ , let  $\dot{Q}_{n+1}$  name the set of all  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  such that  $\text{dom}(p)$  is either  $\emptyset$  or  $\alpha + \dot{f}_n^{G_{n+1}}(\alpha + \omega)$  for some limit ordinal  $\alpha$ .

Prove the following statements:

- (a)  $\mathbb{P}$  has an antichain of size  $\aleph_1$ .
- (b) If  $p \in \mathbb{P}_\omega$  and  $n + 1 \in \text{supp}(p)$  then  $p \restriction n + 1 \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{n+1}}^M \text{dom}(p(n + 1)) < \text{dom}(p(n))$ .
- (c) Each  $\mathbb{P}_n$  is  $\sigma$ -closed but  $\mathbb{P}_\omega$  collapses  $\aleph_1$ . *Hint: Use Problems 16 and 17.*

**Problem 40** (4 points). Let  $\langle \langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \leq_\alpha, \mathbb{1}_\alpha \rangle \mid \alpha \leq \kappa \rangle$  denote the countable support iteration of the sequence  $\langle \langle \dot{Q}_\alpha, \dot{\leq}_\alpha \rangle \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ . Assume that each  $\dot{Q}_\alpha$  is a full name and  $\mathbb{1}_\alpha \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_\alpha}^M \text{“}\dot{Q}_\alpha \text{ is } \sigma\text{-closed”}$ . Prove that  $\mathbb{P}_\kappa$  is  $\sigma$ -closed.

## Revision exercises

**Problem 41** (6 points). A *Ramsey ultrafilter* is an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$  which contains all co-finite subsets of  $\omega$  and such that for every *colouring*  $\pi : [\omega]^2 \rightarrow 2$  there is  $x \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\pi \upharpoonright [x]^2$  is constant. For  $x, y \in [\omega]^\omega$  we say that  $x$  is *almost included* in  $y$ , denoted  $x \subseteq^* y$ , if  $x \setminus y$  is finite. Now let  $\mathbb{U}$  denote the forcing  $\langle [\omega]^\omega, \subseteq^*, \omega \rangle$ . Prove the following statements:

- $\mathbb{U}$  is  $\sigma$ -closed. *Hint:* Use some previous exercise on Sheet 6.
- Show that if  $G$  is  $M$ -generic for  $\mathbb{U}$  then  $G$  is a Ramsey ultrafilter.

**Problem 42** (8 points). Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  denote the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that  $\text{MA}_\kappa$  fails. Let  $\mu$  denote the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  let  $\mathbb{P}_\varepsilon$  be the forcing whose conditions are open sets  $p \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  with  $\mu(p) < \varepsilon$ , ordered by reverse inclusion.

- Let  $\{p_\xi \mid \xi < \omega_1\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_\varepsilon$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\mu(p_\xi) < \varepsilon - 3\delta$  for all  $\xi < \omega_1$ . Show that there are  $\xi, \eta < \omega_1$  with  $\xi \neq \eta$  such that  $p_\xi$  and  $p_\eta$  are compatible. Conclude that  $\mathbb{P}_\varepsilon$  is ccc.
- Show that if  $G$  is  $M$ -generic for  $\mathbb{P}_\varepsilon$  then  $\mu(\bigcup G) \leq \varepsilon$ .
- Let  $N \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  with  $\mu(N) = 0$ . Show that  $D_N = \{p \in \mathbb{P}_\varepsilon \mid p \supseteq N\}$  is dense.
- Show that  $\mathfrak{m} \leq \text{add}(\mathcal{N})$ .

*Hint for (a),(b):* Approximate conditions using unions of rational intervals.

**Problem 43** (4 points). Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be  $\kappa$ -distributive and  $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{P}} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}}^M \text{“}\dot{\mathbb{Q}} \text{ is } \check{\kappa}\text{-distributive”}$ . Prove that  $\mathbb{P} * \dot{\mathbb{Q}}$  is  $\kappa$ -distributive.

**Problem 44** (8 points). Suppose that  $\mathbb{M} = \langle M, \mathcal{C} \rangle \models \text{GBC}$ . We say that a class forcing  $\mathbb{P}$  satisfies the

- *Ord-cc*, if every antichain of  $\mathbb{P}$  is set-sized (i.e. an element of  $M$ ).
- *maximality principle*, if for every first-order formula  $\varphi(x)$  (possibly with class name parameters) and for every  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , if  $p \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathbb{M}} \exists x \varphi(x)$  there is  $\sigma \in M^{\mathbb{P}}$  such that  $p \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathbb{M}} \varphi(\sigma)$ .

Prove the following statements:

- If  $\sigma \in M^{\mathbb{P}}$  and  $G$  is  $\mathbb{M}$ -generic for  $\mathbb{P}$  then  $\text{rank}(\sigma^G) \leq \text{rank}(\sigma)$ .
- $\mathbb{P}$  satisfies the Ord-cc if and only if it satisfies the maximality principle.
- Conclude that the maximality principle can fail for class forcings.

Please hand in your solutions on Monday, 01.02.2015 before the lecture.